## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Brenda Goldsmith,	Civil No. 98-2072 JMR/FLN
Plaintiff,	
v.	NOTICE OF FINAL PRETRIAL AND SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
Jeffrey Beahen and City of Anoka,	AND DETTEEMENT CONTENTS
Defendants.	
WILLIAM J. MADDIX, Esq., MADDIX LAW OFFIC	E, for plaintiff.
PIERRE N. REGNIER, Esq. and JOSEPH E. FLYNN, I defendants.	Esq., JARDINE, LOGAN & O'BRIEN, for
The above-referenced case is assigned to Judge I	Rosenbaum for trial. A settlement and final
pretrial conference will be held on January 24, 2000, a	t 2:00 p.m., before the undersigned at 9W
United States Courthouse, 300 South 4th Street, Minne	apolis, Minnesota 55415.
Counsel who will actually try the case and each	party, armed with full settlement authority,
shall be present. If individuals are parties to this case, the	ey shall be present. If a corporation or other
collective entity is a party, a duly authorized officer or m	nanaging agent of that party shall be present.
This means that each party must attend through a perso	n who has the <u>power</u> to settle the case upon
the opposing party's last stated settlement terms. If th	e party representative has a lesser limit, or
"cap" on his or her authority, this requirement is not sat	isfied. If an insurance company is required
to defend or indemnify any party, a representative of t	he insurer with the power to pay the policy
limits must also attend the settlement conference.	DEC 21 1999
	FILEDFRANCIS E_DOSAL, CLERK JUDGMENT ENTD DEPUTY CLERK

In order to encourage the parties to address the issue of settlement on their own, counsel must

meet in person with one another at least ten (10) days prior to the date of the settlement conference,

to engage in a full and frank discussion of settlement. If the case does not settle, each attorney shall

submit, at least one week before the date of the settlement conference, a letter setting forth the

parties' respective settlement positions before the meeting, their respective positions following the

meeting and a reasoned, itemized analysis justifying their client's last stated settlement position. The

letter shall be submitted in camera directly to the magistrate judge and need not be served on adverse

parties. The content of the letter is privileged under Fed.R.Evid. 408 and will be used by the

magistrate judge only to evaluate the likelihood of settlement and to facilitate the settlement

discussion. Failure of any lawyer to submit this letter will result in the settlement conference being

rescheduled and the imposition of an appropriate sanction on the attorney whose failure caused the

conference to be postponed. Additional sanctions may be imposed for failure to comply with any

of the other foregoing instructions.

If the case cannot be settled, the case will be placed on Judge Rosenbaum's February trial

calendar. A final pretrial order in a form substantially identical to the enclosed proposed order will

be adopted. The parties shall be prepared to address all of the matters covered by the proposed final

pretrial order.

DATED: December 21, 1999.

rank LHad

Chief Magistrate Judge

jury

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

		Civil	JMR/FLN
	Plaintiff,		
	v.	FINAL PRE	ETRIAL ORDER
	Defendants.		
	This matter came on for a final pretrial confi	ference before	e this court on , 199
Based on Rule	e 16, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Fed.R.	Civ.P.), the fi	les and documents herein,
and the repres	entations of counsel, IT IS ORDERED that:		
	1. On or before, the parties, b	y their respe	ctive counsel, shall jointly
prepare and de	eliver to the court Exhibit 1, a statement of the	he agreed fac	ts of this case, in narrative
form. Exhibit	1 will be substantive evidence in the case. U	pon commen	cement of the trial, Exhibit
1 shall be read	l into cvidence. Except as set forth in Exhibi	t 1, no furthe	r evidence as to the agreed
facts may be	entered into the record at trial. Appended to	Exhibit 1 sha	ll be a list of exhibits to be
introduced int	to evidence at the trial, numbered serially from	a 2 through _	Those exhibits shall
be agreed to	be admissible. They will be admitted and	placed in the	trial record upon the first
reference to the	ne particular exhibit by any party. No other m	otion or refe	rence to admission need be
made.			
	2. On or before, counsel for ea	ch side shall	submit a concise statemen

of its case-in-chief. Appended to the statement shall be a list of exhibits. Exhibit numbers
through shall be reserved to plaintiff, exhibit numbers and continuing shall be reserved
for defendant. The exhibit list shall separately identify those exhibits the party expects to offer and
those which the party may offer if the need arises.

- 3. On or before \_\_\_\_\_\_, any party seeking to offer evidence by deposition shall so advise the opposing parties. Upon such notice, the parties are directed to prepare a joint agreed statement, in narrative form, of the testimony which would be given by the deponent if called as a witness at the trial. The agreed statement is not a concession of the admissibility or the factual accuracy of the deponent's testimony. Absent prior leave of court, no deposition testimony may be offered except as provided herein.
- 4. On or before \_\_\_\_\_\_, each party shall simultaneously exchange and file with the court a list disclosing (i) any objections, together with the grounds therefore, to the use under Rule 32(a) of any deposition designated by another party and (ii) any objection, together with the grounds therefore, that may be made to the admissibility of any exhibit identified by another party. The list shall note separately as to each such objections the precise evidence to which objection is made, the applicable rule or case law which governs the objection. Objections not disclosed in accordance with the terms of this paragraph shall be deemed waived unless excused by the court for good cause.
- 5. On or before \_\_\_\_\_\_, each party shall exchange and file with the court any motions in limine. Each such motion shall specifically identify the relief sought and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law, and a proposed order. No party may submit more than three (3) motions in limine. No brief in support of, or in opposition to, such motion shall be longer than

three (3) pages in length, nor may reply briefs be submitted.

6. On or before \_\_\_\_\_, each party shall simultaneously exchange and file with

this court a complete list of witnesses to be called during its own case-in-chief. As to each witness,

there shall be a short statement as to the substance of the testimony. The list shall separately identify

those whom the party expects to present, and those whom the party may call if the need arises.

7. For witnesses proposed to be experts, the parties are directed to append to Exhibit

1 copies of the curriculum vitae of each such expert. Absent specific leave of court, the expert may

not present more than five minutes of professional qualification. It is anticipated that in most cases

the parties will stipulate to expertise, although in appropriate cases voir dire or cross-examination

of an expert's qualification may be permitted; said examination may go beyond the direct oral

testimony as to qualification.

8. All lists of exhibits and witnesses shall be provided to the court on an Exhibit and

Witness List form. A blank form is enclosed and may be photocopied for your use. All documents

submitted pursuant to this order shall be directed to:

Clerk, U. S. District Court ATTN: Larry Lewis

300 South 4th Street, #15E Minneapolis, MN 55415

9. Pursuant to Rule 48, Fed.R.Civ.P., this matter will be heard by a jury consisting

of no fewer than eight members with no alternates. In the event of illness or disability, the jury may

deliberate with as few as six remaining members, absent further agreement of the parties.

10. This case will be considered ready for trial on and after \_\_\_\_\_. Substantive

jury instructions, voir dire, and special verdict form shall be submitted to the court not later than that

date.	Counsel shall restrict themselves to su	ubmission of particularized instructions touching their
subst	tantive claims.	
DAT	TED:	
		FRANKLIN L. NOEL
		Chief Magistrate Judge